A Pharmacy Pilot or Demonstration Research Project for a New Practice Model for Community Pharmacy

A Demonstration Project to Study the Effects of Implementing Tech-Check-Tech Programs in Community Practice to Engage Community Pharmacists in Clinical Pharmacy Services in Iowa

PHASE TWO

QUARTER THREE REPORT

Iowa Pharmacy Association & Drake University College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences

Primary Contact:

Megan Myers, PharmD.

New Practice Model Program Manager
Iowa Pharmacy Association

8515 Douglas Avenue, Suite 16
Des Moines, IA 50322

515-270-0713 (office)

mmyers@iarx.org

Secondary Contact:

Anthony Pudlo, PharmD, MBA, BCACP
Vice President of Professional Affairs
lowa Pharmacy Association
8515 Douglas Avenue, Suite 16
Des Moines, IA 50322
515-270-0713 (office)
630-816-5716 (cell)
apudlo@iarx.org

Submitted to the Iowa Board of Pharmacy

January 13, 2016

LEADERSHIP TEAM MEMBERS

Megan Myers, PharmD, will serve as Project Coordinator. She will oversee the project, conduct regular on-site visits with each site, coordinate the study activities, chair the regular team meetings, and lead the writing of the study reports to the Board of Pharmacy.

Michael Andreski, RPh, MBA, PhD, Assistant Professor of Social and Administrative Pharmacy, Drake University College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences serves as research consultant and principal investigator, participates in regular team meetings, and participates in the writing of the study report.

T.J. Johnsrud, NuCara Health Management, Inc., provides a pharmacy management perspective for coordinating the community pharmacy clinical services and Tech-Check-Tech programs within the community pharmacy sites. He participates in regular team meetings.

Anthony Pudlo, PharmD, MBA, BCACP, Vice-President of Professional Affairs, and Kate Gainer, PharmD, Executive Vice President/CEO, Iowa Pharmacy Association, will oversee coordination of clinical pharmacy services available to community pharmacy sites in this study.

IPA'S PHASE 2 QUARTER 3 GOALS:

- 1) Tech-Check-Tech (TCT) to be fully implemented and occurring 75% of business days (M-F).
- 2) Sites to submit data collected for both research aims within 7 days of the end of the month.
- 3) Sites to increase time spent counseling patients on both new and refilled prescriptions.
- 4) Pharmacists begin to expand upon patient care services including meeting with other health care providers and developing business plans to implement new services.

PHARMACY SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION

Pharmacy Site #8:

Thrifty White Pharmacy #42 400 Grand Ave Spencer, IA 51301 712-262-1523 License #504 Amy Fitch, Pharmacist-In-Charge License #17211

Pharmacy Site #9:

Hy-Vee Pharmacy #1192

115 South 29th Street
Fort Dodge, IA 50501
515-576-5320
License #981
Thomas F. Donner, Pharmacist-In-Charge
License #16040
Christine Donner-Tiernan, On-Site Responsible

Pharmacy Site #10:

Pharmacist

Walgreens Pharmacy #12108 2719 Grand Ave Ames, IA 50010 515-232-8276 License #804 Anne Stover Garcia, Pharmacist-In-Charge License #20768

Pharmacy Site #11:

Hartig Drug #3
2255 JFK Road
Dubuque, IA 52002
563-588-8708
License #767
Emily Vyverberg, Pharmacist-In-Charge
License #21065

Pharmacy Site #12:

Main at Locust Pharmacy and Medical Supplies 129 W Locust St Davenport, IA 52803 563-324-1641 License #774 Lisa C Ploehn, Pharmacist-In-Charge License #16831

2400 Edgowood B

3400 Edgewood Rd SW Cedar Rapids, IA 52404 319-396-4777

Pharmacy Site #13:

Target Pharmacy

License #1135

Sarah Lewis, Pharmacist-In-Charge

License #21575

Pharmacy Site #14:

Wester Drug; License #399
315 E 2nd Street
Muscatine, IA 52761
563-263-7044
Cory Garvin, Pharmacist-In-Charge
License #20245
Michelle Garvin, Pharmacy Owner, Certified
Pharmacy Technician
CPhT#38010161153651; Registration # 2016

Pharmacy Site #15:

Medicap Pharmacy #8036
208 E. Euclid Ave.
Indianola, IA 50125
515-961-5303
License # 495
Shanna Zwanziger, Pharmacist-In-Charge
License #19096

Pharmacy Site #16:

Thrifty White Pharmacy #56 1320 Broadway Denison, IA 51442 712-263-4646 License #157 Tim Weber, Pharmacist-In-Charge License #17699

Pharmacy Site #17:

Walgreens Pharmacy #07967 15601 Hickman Rd Clive, IA 50325 515-961-5303 License #1257 Kori Nagel, Pharmacist-In-Charge License #20047

Aim 1: Implement and assess the impact of a Tech-Check-Tech program in community pharmacies in Iowa on patient safety measures. "50 refills per month for the reminder of the project will be double checked for errors."

Aggregate Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Feb. 2 nd – Oct. 31 st :		Aggregate data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):		
	Since Feb 2015:	Aug – Oct 2015 only:	Total Prescription Refills Chec	cked 7,884
Total Rx Refills Checked		2,333	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Drug	2	1	Wrong Strength	0
Wrong Strength	1	0	Safety Cap Error	18
Safety Cap Error	6	2	Wrong Amount	19
Wrong Amount	2	1	Other Errors	13
Other Errors	1	6	Broken Tablet=1 Not Refrigerated=1 Incorrect Packaging=:	2
Patient-Safety errors For Patient-Safety Erro	3 rs:	1	Administrative= 9	
Error rate 0.043 Mean p-value* Range	(0.04% 0.03%±0.01% (p=0.63) 0% to 0.33%	Patient-Safety errors (4 of the other errors) For Patient-Safety Errors	4
			Error rate	0.051%
Administrative errors For Administrative Erro		9	Mean Range	0.053%±0.112% 0% - 0.27%
Error rate 0.21: Mean p-value*	%	0.386% 0.47%±0.78% (p=0.74)	Administrative errors	46
, Range		0-2%	For Administrative Errors	
			Error Rate	0.583%
Total Errors 18 Overall Error Rate 0.26 Mean Error Rate	5%	10 0.429% 0.5% (±0.78%)	Mean Range	0.58%±0.67% 0% - 2.2%
p-value*		(p=0.696)	Total Errors	50
Range		0% to 2%	Overall Error Rate	0.634%
			Mean Error Rate Range	0.63% (±0.7%) 0.00% to 2.2%

Current Observation:

The overall error rate (p=0.696), patient-safety error rate (p=0.63), and administrative error rate (p=0.74) showed no significant differences compared to baseline.

Aim 2: Implement and assess the impact of a Tech-Check-Tech program in community pharmacies in Iowa and in facilitating the provision of community pharmacist-provided medication therapy management.

"The primary data sources will be self-reported pharmacist daily activity logs and numbers of both compensated and identified opportunities for MTM and other patient care services. Once the Tech-Check-Tech procedures have been initiated and are performing adequately as defined above, the pharmacist(s) at the participating pharmacies will begin to focus on increasing the amount of MTM services provided."

Aggregate data: Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT August 1 st - Oct. 31st	p-value compared
			to baseline
Time Spent in Dispensing	74.23%	54.6%	p=0.011
Time spent in Dispensing	7 112570	3 11070	P 0.011
	Range = 52.63% 85.99%	Range = 44.77% 79.45%	
Time Spent in	6.83%	7.8%	p=0.866
Management	2.450/ 24.50/	2 020/ 45 600/	
	Range = 2.16% 24.56%	Range = 2.03% 15.69%	
Time Spent in Patient	16.40%	32.79%	p=0.005
Care	Range = 8.23% 32.16%	Range = 6.53% 52.95%	
Time Spent in Practice	1.89%	1.05%	p=0.24
Development	Range = 0% 5.54%	Range = 0% 2.46%	
Time Spent in Other	0.65%	3.7%	p=0.125
Activities	Range = 0% 4.32%	Range = 0% 18.94%	

^{*}Please see appendix A for individual site data

Current Observation:

The amount of time pharmacists spend in dispensing has gone down significantly with a corresponding significant increase in patient care activities and no significant change in other categories.

Aggregate data: Number of Services Provided

	Number of services	provided from	Aug. 1 st – Oct.	31 st :
--	---------------------------	---------------	-----------------------------	--------------------

Reimbursed Patient Care Services per Pharmacist Hour

Average 1.23 ± 1.18 (p=0.08)*

Range 0.20 to 3.72

Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care per Pharmacist Hour

Average 3.02 ± 2.37 (p=0.082)*

Range 0.56 to 8.28

Total Patient Care Services per Pharmacist Hour

Average 4.24 ± 3.24 (p=0.049)*

Range 0.76 to 10.52

*p value comparison with baseline

Number of service provided during baseline collection:

Reimbursed Patient Care Services per Pharmacist Hour

Average 0.455 ± 0.553

Range 0.149 to 1.98

Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care per Pharmacist Hour

0.407 to 3.18

Average 1.499 ± 0.805

Range

Total Patient Care Services per Pharmacist Hour

Average 1.954 ± 1.097

Range 0.989 to 4.355

Current Observation:

Individually the amount of both reimbursed and non-reimbursed patient care services per pharmacist per hour have increased, and are trending towards statistical significant. When combined, the increase is statistically significant. Over an average 8-hour shift, the pharmacist at baseline performed approximately 3 reimbursed services and 12 non-reimbursed services. This quarter, over an average 8-hour shift, the pharmacist performed approximately 10 reimbursed services and 24 non-reimbursed services.

^{*}Please see appendix A for individual site data

^{*}Please see appendix A for individual site data.

Aggregate Data: Number of services per hour:

Service Type	Baseline	TCT Aug 1 st – Oct. 31 st	p-value compared to
			baseline
Prescription Counseling	Avg. = 0.0173	Avg. = 0.26	p=0.36
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.10	Range = 0 – 2.53	
	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	3/10 pharmacies provided	
Prescription Counseling	Avg. = 1.160	Avg. = 2.25	p=0.12
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0.218 – 3.069	Range= 0.28 – 5.73	
	10/10 Pharmacies Provided	10/10 pharmacies provided	
Drug Therapy Problems	Avg. = 0.00486	Avg. = 0.004	p=0.82
Identified Through	Range= 0 – 0.0455	Range = 0 – 0.35	•
Dispensing DUR	2/10 Pharmacies Provided	1/10 pharmacies provided	
Reimbursed	,		
Drug Therapy Problems	Avg. = 0.119	Avg. = 0.139	p=0.74
Identified Through	Range= 0.21 – 0.51	Range = 0 – 0.33	
Dispensing DUR	10/10 Pharmacies Provided	9/10 pharmacies provided	
Non-Reimbursed			
Drug Information	Avg. = 0.014	Avg. = 0	p=0.33
Request	Range= 0 – 0.136	Range = 0	
Reimbursed	2/10 Pharmacies Provided	0/10 pharmacies provided	
Drug Information	Avg. = 0.0823	Avg. = 0.206	p=0.03
Request	Range= 0.0054 – 0.191	Range = 0 – 0.45	
Non-Reimbursed	10/10 Pharmacies Provided	9/10 pharmacies provided	
Patient Education	Avg. = 0.0049	Avg. = 0.001	p=0.439
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.049	Range = $0 - 0.4$	
	1/10 Pharmacies Provided	3/10 pharmacies provided	
Patient Education	Avg. = 0.0549	Avg. = 0.135	p=0.113
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.189	Range = 0.2 – 0.46	
	9/10 Pharmacies Provided	10/10 pharmacies provided	
Immunizations	Avg. = 0.361	Avg. = 0632	p=0.231
Reimbursed	Range= 0.107 – 1.74	Range = 0.04 – 1.52	
	10/10 Pharmacies Provided	10/10 pharmacies provided	
Immunizations	Avg. = 0.00277	Avg. = 0.139	p=0.153
Non-Reimbursed	Non-Reimbursed Range = $0 - 0.0186$ Range = $0 - 0.8$		
	2/10 Pharmacies Provided 3/10 pharmacies provided		
Injection Administration	Avg. = 0.00261	Avg. = 0.237	p=0.104
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0182	Range = 0 – 1.1	
	3/10 Pharmacies Provided	3/10 pharmacies Provided	
Injection Administration	Avg. = 0.00	Avg. = 0.0	p=n/a (the same
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0	Range = 0	result)
	0/10 Pharmacies Provided	0/10 pharmacies Provided	_

Aggregate Data: Number of services per hour (continued):

Service Type	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st	p-value compared to
			<u>baseline</u>
Patient Screening/Testing	Avg. = 0.00494	Avg. = 0.01	p=0.439
Reimbursed	Range = 0 – 0.0453	Range = 0 – 0.008	
	2/10 Pharmacies Provided	2/10 pharmacies provided	
Patient Screening/Testing	Avg. = 0.00668	Avg. = 0.02	p=0.27
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0394	Range = 0.0 – 0.009	
	5/10 Pharmacies Provided	4/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Current Medication	Avg. = 0.0104	Avg. = 0.032	p=0.197
List/History	Range= 0 – 0.0491	Range = 0 – 0.14	
Reimbursed	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	7/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Current Medication	Avg. = 0.0115	Avg. = 0.028	p=0.392
List/History Non-	Range= 0 – 0.0806	Range = 0 – 0.17	
Reimbursed	5/10 Pharmacies Provided	6/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Medication	Avg. = 0.0104	Avg. = 0.011	p=0.888
Reconciliation	Range= 0 – 0.0491	Range = 0 – 0.39	
Reimbursed	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	5/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Medication	Avg. = 0.0166	Avg. = 0.012	p=0.573
Reconciliation	Range= 0 – 0.0549	Range = 0 – 0.05	
Non-Reimbursed	6/10 Pharmacies Provided	5/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Patient Follow-up	Avg. = 0.0071	Avg. = 0.019	p=0.357
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0526	Range = 0 - 0.10	
	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	4/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Patient Follow-up	Avg. = 0.00138	Avg. = 0.030	p=0.042
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0077	Range = 0 - 0.10	
	2/10 Pharmacies Provided	7/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Patient Interview	Avg. = 0.00112	Avg. = 0.017	p=0.482
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0494	Range = 0 - 0.47	
	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	7/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Patient Interview	Avg. = 0.00745	Avg. = 0.007	p=0.898
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0434	Range = 0 – 0.039	
	3/10 Pharmacies Provided	3/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Provider Consult	Avg. = 0.00511	Avg. = 0.01	p=0.246
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0165	Range = 0 - 0.03	
	4/10 Pharmacies Provided	6/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Provider Consult	Avg. = 0.0365	Avg. = 0.058	p=0.535
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.192	Range = 0 – 0.287	
	6/10 Pharmacies Provided	9/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Other Services	Avg. = 0.00035	Avg. = 0.001	p=0.343
Reimbursed	Range= 0 – 0.0035	Range = 0	
	1/10 Pharmacies Provided	1/10 pharmacies provided	
MTM Other Services	Avg. = 0	Avg. = 0.015	p=0.343
Non-Reimbursed	Range= 0	Range = 0	
	0/10 Pharmacies Provided	1/10 pharmacies provided	

Current Observation

There appears to be an increase in patient counseling, addressing DURs, immunizations, and medication reconciliation. The amount of time spent answering drug information questions and completing patient follow-up activities has increased significantly. Anecdotally, pharmacists have reported having more time with each patient, providing a better quality service than prior to TCT.

*Please see appendix A for individual site data.

SUMMARY

- Tech-Check-Tech portion of the study in Phase II sites went live on February 2, 2015.
 - As a group, Phase II sites used the Tech-Check-Tech model approximately <u>79.38%</u> of the time when possible this quarter, which is above the goal of 75%.

Months	Average % of time doing TCT	Range of time using TCT days
August -	77.92%	46% - 100%
October		

- Staffing has not been a barrier with a majority of these sites.
- IPA hosted a live meeting for both Phase I and II sites on September 11, 2015 to review the
 project's progress, provide opportunities for small group discussions, and continued discussion
 of the Pharmacists Patient Care Process endorsed by APhA and several national pharmacy
 organizations. Specifically challenges and possible solutions for documenting, follow up and
 monitoring were discussed.
 - The next live meeting will be in March or April of 2016.
- The IPA project manager completed 2 visits at each of the 10 Phase II sites since the beginning of the project and will continue to visit sites every 2-3 months after maternity leave.

EXPANDING PHARMACIST-PROVIDED PATIENT CARE SERVICES

- Sites are working on:
 - Expanding MTM opportunities
 - Expanding immunizations offered
 - Expanding Med Sync, compliance packaging and adherence programs
 - Pursuing collaborative practice agreements
 - Reaching out to other providers to let them know about pharmacy services
 - Site 11 has implemented a new program collaborating with Mercy Hospital to provide discharge counseling and follow-up on day 8 and day 25 post-discharge. This is a revenue generating service.
- Sites have reported they have already noticed more time for counseling

PHARMACIST AND TECHNICIAN TRAINING

- Required pharmacist and technician training modules were completed by all initial participating staff by November 14, 2014.
 - Additional staff have been trained throughout quarter three (Appendix C).
- Revised CEI modules are available for future staff additions. Modules are being used for phase I and phase II sites. The modules are on-demand and accredited for C.P.E.
 - o Modules were available starting September 9, 2014.

CONCLUSION

There has been no statistical difference in error rates on refills for Phase II sites with Tech-Check-Tech as the traditional Pharmacist-Check-Tech model. Pharmacists are re-adjusting their days to be ready to maximize the use of the freed up time for patient care activities. The increase in the overall time spent in patient care and number of services done is significant.

FUTURE DIRECTION/GOALS

In the fourth quarter we aim to maintain the amount of days TCT is practiced, to continue to demonstrate positive results regarding error rates, and expand further on direct patient care services pharmacists are providing.

PHASE TWO PROJECT TIMELINE

Month 1-3	Project start-up; Identify sites
Month 2	Submit proposal to Iowa Board of Pharmacy for pilot/demonstration project – Approved November 19, 2014
Month 5	Community pharmacies implement Tech-Check-Tech programs; pharmacists engage in collaborative practice agreements for patient care delivery – <i>Implemented TCT February 2, 2015</i>
Month 23	Pilot project authority expires for Tech-Check-Tech Pilot ends August 2, 2016
Month 22-24	Data analyses and report writing

APPENDIX A

In order to protect the confidentiality of each site, there is no correlation between the order of the individual site reports H-Q and the numerical designation on page 3 of this report.

Individual Site Data for *Site H***: Percent of time utilizing TCT = 67.69%**

Site H Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site H data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	151	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	1
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	1
Other Errors	3 (all administrative)	Other Errors	0
Total Errors	3	Total Errors	2
Overall Error Rate	1.99%	Overall Error Rate	0.27%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	<u>TCT Aug. 1st – Oct. 31st</u>
Time Spent in Dispensing	75.36%	58.01%
Time Spent in Management	2.16%	5.35%
Time Spent in Patient Care	21.61%	34.68%
Time Spent in Practice Development	0.86%	1.96%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	0%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.35	2.19
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	0.87	2.05
Total Patient Care Services	1.22	4.24

<u>Individual Site Data for Site I: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 89.23%</u>

		Site I data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist- checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	150	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	2
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	1
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	0
		Tabal Simons	2
Total Errors	0	Total Errors	3
Overall Error Rate	0%	Overall Error Rate	0.4%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	71.71%	45.99%
Time Spent in Management	13.48%	9.84%
Time Spent in Patient Care	8.23%	37%
Time Spent in Practice Development	2.26%	1.46%
Time Spent in Other Activities	4.32%	5.7%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.40	2.25
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.78	8.28
Total Patient Care Services	2.18	10.53

Individual Site Data for *Site J*: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 94.37%

Site J Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site J data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	306	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	1	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	1
Wrong Amount	1	Wrong Amount	1
Other Errors	0	Other Errors 2 Wrong patient identification = 2	
Total Errors	2	Total Errors	4
Overall Error Rate	0.65%	Overall Error Rate	0.53%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	76%	54.24%
Time Spent in Management	4.71%	13.03%
Time Spent in Patient Care	17.92%	30.27%
Time Spent in Practice Development	1.37%	2.46%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0	0%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.22	0.38
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.32	1.92
Total Patient Care Services	1.54	2.30

<u>Individual Site Data for Site K: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 49.23%</u>

Site K Data from Technicia collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st		Site K data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	190	Total Prescription Refills Checked	909
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	1
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	0
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	0
Table		Total Errors	1
Total Errors	0	Overall Error Rate	0.11%
Overall Error Rate	0%		

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	59.29%	44.77%
Time Spent in Management	3.02%	4.28%
Time Spent in Patient Care	32.16%	49.4%
Time Spent in Practice Development	5.54%	0.56%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	0.99%

	Baseline	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	1.98	3.72
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	2.37	5.83
Total Patient Care Services	4.35	9.55

Individual Site Data for Site L: Percent of time utilizing TCT = 96.92%

Site L Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected from Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st		Site L data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	171	Total Prescription Refills Checked	857
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	4
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	6
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	0
Total Errors	0	Total Errors	10
Overall Error Rate	0%	Overall Error Rate	1.17%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	81.6%	61.99%
Time Spent in Management	3.96%	2.03%
Time Spent in Patient Care	12.03%	33.55%
Time Spent in Practice	2.41%	0%
Development		
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	2.43%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.15	1.57
Remibulsed Fatient Care Services	0.13	1.57
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.06	1.29
Total Patient Care Services	1.21	2.86

<u>Individual Site Data for Site M: Percent of time utilizing TCT = 46.15%</u>

Site M Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site M data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	157	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	2
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	2
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	1
Total Errors	0	Total Errors	5
Overall Error Rate	0%	Overall Error Rate	0.67%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	79.57%	60.85%
Time Spent in Management	3.76%	4.68%
Time Spent in Patient Care	14.52%	14.89%
Time Spent in Practice Development	0%	0.64%
Time Spent in Other Activities	2.15%	18.54%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.33	0.20
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.76	1.39
Total Patient Care Services	2.10	1.59

Individual Site Data for Site N: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 100%

Site N Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site N data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	750	Total Prescription Refills Checked	868
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	2	Safety Cap Error	0
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	1
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	0
Total Errors	2	Total Errors	1
Overall Error Rate	0.27%	Overall Error Rate	0.12%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

<u></u>		
	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	79.4%	79.45%
Time Spent in Management	5.32%	4.9%
Time Spent in Patient Care	13.95%	14.61%
Time Spent in Practice Development	1.33%	1.05%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	0%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.21	0.90
Remibulsed Fatient Care Services	0.21	0.90
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.06	1.97
Total Patient Care Services	1.27	2.87

Individual Site Data for Site O: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 52.31%

Site O Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site O data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	150	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	0
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	0
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	0
Total Errors	0	Total Errors	0
Overall Error Rate	0%	Overall Error Rate	0%

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st - Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	85.99%	77.94%
Time Spent in Management	3.26%	7.56%
Time Spent in Patient Care	10.13%	6.53%
Time Spent in Practice Development	0.62%	0.35%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	7.62%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.16	0.20
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	1.18	0.56
Total Patient Care Services	1.34	0.76

<u>Individual Site Data for Site P: Percent of time utilizing TCT = 86.15%</u>

Site P Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site P data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
150	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750	
0	Wrong Drug	0	
0	Wrong Strength	0	
0	Safety Cap Error	1	
0	Wrong Amount	2	
3 (all administrative)	Other Errors	0	
	Table	2	
3	Total Errors	3	
2%	Overall Error Rate	0.4%	
	150 0 0 0 0 0 3 (all administrative)	checked prescriptions): Total Prescription Refills Checked Wrong Drug Wrong Strength Safety Cap Error Wrong Amount (all administrative) Total Errors Contact Force Date	

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Spent in Dispensing	52.63%	29.61%
Time Spent in Management	24.56%	15.69%
Time Spent in Patient Care	18.25%	52.95%
Time Spent in Practice Development	4.56%	1.74%
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	0%

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.58	0.26
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	0.41	3.49
Total Patient Care Services	0.99	3.75

Individual Site Data for Site Q: Percent of time utilizing TCT – 97.1%

Site Q Data from Technician checked prescriptions collected Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st :		Site Q data from Baseline collection (Pharmacist-checked prescriptions):	
Total Rx Refills Checked	158	Total Prescription Refills Checked	750
Wrong Drug	0	Wrong Drug	0
Wrong Strength	0	Wrong Strength	0
Safety Cap Error	0	Safety Cap Error	6
Wrong Amount	0	Wrong Amount	5
Other Errors	0	Other Errors	2
		Broken tablet = 1 Refrigerated item not in fridge = 1	
Total Errors	0	Total Errors	13
	-	Overall Error Rate	1.73%
Overall Error Rate	0%		

Composition of Pharmacist Day

	Baseline	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Time Count in Discouring	00.750/	F2 020/
Time Spent in Dispensing	80.75%	52.83%
Time Spent in Management	4.04%	4.76%
Time Spent in Management	4.0470	4.7070
Time Spent in Patient Care	15.22%	42.41%
Time spent in ratione care	13.2270	12.1170
Time Spent in Practice Development	0%	0%
Time spent in Fractice Development	070	070
Time Spent in Other Activities	0%	0%
The open in other received	2,0	3,0

	<u>Baseline</u>	TCT Aug. 1 st – Oct. 31 st
Reimbursed Patient Care Services	0.17	0.60
Non-Reimbursed Patient Services Care	3.18	3.39
Total Patient Care Services	3.35	3.99

APPENDIX B

Site Requirements for New Practice Model (NPM) Project

The following is a guideline of requirements asked of sites in the NPM project. If a site struggles to meet the requirements, members from the NPM task force will review the site's progress and develop a plan of action to help the site succeed. If the site continues to be unable to meet the requirements, the members from the task force will provide a recommendation to the board of pharmacy to consider withdrawing the site from the study.

Sites that consistently struggle with:

- 1) Submitting data on time
- 2) Changing workflow to incorporate Tech-Check-Tech
- 3) Ongoing staffing issues including low number of hours doing Tech-Check-Tech
- 4) Using freed up time to reduce pharmacist hours or engage in non-patient care activities

APPENDIX C Staff Changes for Quarter 3

New Staff addition to project since August 2015

Site	Position	Name	Signed Letter	Signed study consent	Comple	ted training
Walgreens Ames	CPhT	Randall Treadway	8/25/2015	8/25/2015		Filling tech, not a new employee but is newly certified
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Shawn Forester	8/17/2015	8/17/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Michelle Bunce	8/17/2015	8/17/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Amanda Lepinski	8/11/2015	8/11/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Kirsten Carstarphen	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Abby Cowan	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Tatjana Sehic	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Keisha Boles	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Walgreens Clive	CPhT	Addyson Rosa	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Wester Drug Muscatine	CPhT	Kaitlin Umlandt	8/10/2015	8/10/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Wester Drug Muscatine	CPhT	Jessica Rhalf	7/23/2015	7/23/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Wester Drug Muscatine	CPhT	Morgan Harris	7/23/2015	7/23/2015	n/a	Filling tech
Hartig Drug Dubuque	PIC	Emily Vyverberg	6/25/14	6/25/14	11/14	Resuming position as PIC – current PIC will resume staff position

Staff that has left since August 2015

Site	Position	Name
Target/CVS – Cedar Rapids	CPhT	Nicole Hernandez
Hartig Drug – Dubuque	RPh	Anthony Pape